

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,402	12/28/2001	Ben B. Wang	14882RRUS01U	3378
75	90 05/02/2005		EXAMINER	
WEI WEI JEANG			POLLACK, MELVIN H	
HAYNES AND BOONE LLP 901 MAIN STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3100			2145	
DALLAS, TX 75202-3787			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	١
LA	

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2001. 2a) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after StX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above the mailing date of this communication, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above the mailing date of this communication, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three mailing date of this communication, by statute, ca						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 					
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: see attached office action.						

Application/Control Number: 10/035,402 Page 2

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kumar et al. (6,240,445).
- 3. For claims 1 and 8, Kumar teaches a method (abstract) of addressing (col. 1, line 1 col. 4, line 10) a node (Fig. 1, #24) in a network (Fig. 1, #22), comprising:
 - a. Reading (col. 6, lines 40-60) an identifier (Fig. 2, #38 and #40);
 - b. Translating the identifier (Fig. 3) into a group identification representative of a plurality of identifiers (Fig. 2, #30);
 - c. Indexing an address table (col. 7, lines 10-30 and 60-65) with the group identification (Fig. 7, #110); and
 - d. Mapping the group identification to a first node of the network (Fig. 6).
- 4. For claims 2 and 14, Kumar teaches that wherein translating the identifier into a group identification further comprises translating the identifier into one of a plurality of group identifications (col. 7, lines 10-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/035,402

Art Unit: 2145

5. For claim 3, Kumar teaches that indexing an address table with the group identification further comprises indexing a record of the table (Fig. 7, #110) having a field element corresponding to the group identification (Fig. 6).

Page 3

- 6. For claims 4 and 15, Kumar teaches that wherein mapping the group identification to a first node further comprises mapping the group identification to a first node of a plurality of nodes of the network (Fig. 7, #112; col. 9, lines 5-10; col. 9, line 65 col. 10, line 5).
- 7. For claim 5, Kumar teaches that reading an identifier further comprises reading a text-based identifier (col. 10, line 5).
- 8. For claim 7, Kumar teaches that translating the identifier into a group identification (Fig. 3) further comprises translating the identifier into a numerical-based group identification (Fig. 2).
- 9. Claim 10 is drawn to the limitations in claims 5 and 7. Therefore, since claims 5 and 7 are rejected, claim 10 is also rejected for the reasons above.
- 10. For claim 11, Kumar teaches that the translation module is operable to translate a plurality of identifiers into a common group identification (col. 4, line 59 col. 5, line 15).
- 11. For claim 12, Kumar teaches that a processing element (Fig. 1, #18) and a memory module maintaining the translation module maintained by the memory module as an instruction set executable by the processing element (Fig. 5; col. 8, lines 15-20). (These items are inherent as they are required for the system #18 to properly function.)
- 12. For claim 13, Kumar teaches that the identifier is included in a message received by the message distributor (col. 6, lines 40-65), the message routed to the processing node by the message distributor upon indexing of the record (col. 7, lines 60-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/035,402 Page 4

Art Unit: 2145

13. For claim 16, Kumar teaches that the second address is equivalent to the first address (Fig. 2, #30).

- 14. For claim 17, Kumar teaches that the second address is different than the first address (col. 7, lines 23-25).
- 15. For claim 18, Kumar teaches an interface (Fig. 5, #50) with a plurality of processing nodes (Fig. 1, #24-29).
- 16. For claim 19, Kumar teaches that the interface is a network interface (Fig. 1, #22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claims 6, 9, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar as applied to claims 1, 8, 18 above, and further in view of Michels et al. (6,161,144).
- 19. For claims 6 and 9, Kumar does not expressly disclose that translating the identifier further comprises translating the identifier by a hashing function. Michels teaches a method and system (abstract) of performing high speed routing through efficient lookup tables (col. 1, line 1 col. 2, line 50) that further moves traffic to desired nodes grouped together (col. 2, line 50 col. 3, line 60) through the use of lookup tables (Figs. 4A, 5, 9). Michels further teaches that hash searches may be used (col. 11, lines 20-23). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used Michels hash search method (col. 9, lines 20-30) in

Application/Control Number: 10/035,402

Art Unit: 2145

Kumar in order to boost search efficiencies and more specifically to allow multiple searches per clock cycle (col. 3, lines 20-45).

20. For claim 20, Kumar does not expressly disclose that the interface is an address bus of the message distributor. Michels teaches an interface (Fig. 3, #76) that connects to bus logic (col. 6, lines 42-47; col. 7, lines 25-27). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used Michels bus arbitration scheme in Kumar in order to allow simplified shard fax networks (col. 1, lines 25-30).

Conclusion

- 21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 22. Other shared address/communications systems: Kanaya (6,137,597), Iwami et al. (6,240,085).
- 23. E-mail routing schemes (i.e. alias): Goodman (6,735,617), Rochkind (6,161,129).
- 24. Background on communication sharing techniques: Xie et al. (6,662,213), Gross et al. (6,266,348), Hoffpauir et al. (H1,895), Wright (6,484,317).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin H. Pollack whose telephone number is (571) 272-3887. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (571) 272-6159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,402 Page 6

Art Unit: 2145

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHP

21 April 2005

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700